

**Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee**  
**(ETEC)**

**Date: 08.01.2018 at NHIDCL (HQ).**

**Name of work:** Restoration of Road by way of clearance of landslide, surface boulders side drain, culverts including construction of R/wall/Scuppers and laying of WBM-II, WBM-III, & Pre- Mixed Surfacing/Mixed seal Surfacing (PC) on Batote-Kishtwar-Chatroo- Sinthan top road NH-244 Km from Km 170.000 to Km 184.000 in the state of Jammu & Kashmir.

It was noted that ETEC in its earlier meeting dated 19.12.2017 had recommended that the clarifications, as suggested by the financial consultant, be sought from the bidders. After the approval of the Competent Authority, the bidders were requested to clarify the observations pertaining to their respective bids. It was noted by the Committee that all the four bidders submitted the replies to the observations.

2. ETEC further noted that the minimum eligibility/qualification criteria as per RFP are as under:

S.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Lakhs
1	Time for completion 12 months/1 Year	
2	Estimated Cost	699.14
3	Minimum Criteria for Average Annual Turnover (For each Applicant)	279.65
4	Eligibility criteria as completed work of similar nature during last 5 years (One similar Completed work not less than the amount equal to 40% of the value of contract)	279.65
5	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Applicant) as per clause 4.6 of Section 2 Instructions to Bidders (ITB)	More than 699.14
6	Line (s) of credit and availability of other financial resource facilities certified by bankers as per clause 4.2 (g) of Section 2 Instructions to Bidders (ITB)	69.91

3. Financial consultant vide their report dated 02.01.2018 examined the clarifications received from the bidders as per the requirement of RFP (**Annexure-I**).

4. The Committee observed the following:

**4.1 Abdul Rashid Butt, Proprietorship Firm:**

4.1.1 The bidder vide email dated 26.12.2017 replied (Soft and Hard Copy) to the queries which were examined by the financial consultant. Financial consultant in his report submitted that in case the submission in physical form is not accepted by the Authority, the bid becomes non-responsive in terms of clause 4.4 B(iii) of Section-II (ITB). It was observed by the ETEC that though the bidder has submitted the similar nature work executed detail in physical form but no scanned copy of the same were uploaded by the bidder on the CPP portal at the time of bid submission as required under clause 4.2 (b) of section -II, ITB of RFP. ETEC is of the opinion that the bid of Abdul Rashid Butt is non-responsive in terms of clause 4.4. B (iii) of the section -II of RFP and should not be considered for financial opening.

**4.2 M/s Jai Buildcon Pvt. Ltd., Company:**

4.2.1 The bidder has replied to the queries vide email dated 26.12.2017 (Soft and Hard Copy) which were examined by the financial consultant and the replies were found to be in order. Thus, the bid submitted by M/s Jai Buildcon Pvt. Ltd was found to be responsive and met the eligibility criteria. Financial consultant recommended the bidder as qualified for



the next stage of the bidding process. ETEC agreed with the recommendation of financial consultant.

#### 4.3 M/s Khanday Infrastructure (P) Ltd., Company:

4.3.1 M/s Khanday Infrastructure (P) Ltd. vide letter no. KIPL/CLR/24/2017-18 dated 26.12.2017 replied to the queries which were examined by the financial consultant and the replies were found to be in order except that as per clause 4.4 B (b) (ii) of Appendix to Bid and page 24 of ITB, minimum required Total Experience for Plant Manager is 10 years, however the bidder has considered the RFP document of some other project. Therefore, in case the bidder will be L1 or successful bidder then the proposed personnel as Plant Manager should be replaced with other Plant Manager who fulfils the requirement of RFP. The bid submitted by M/s Khanday Infrastructure (P) Ltd. was found to be responsive and met the eligibility criteria as per para 2 above.

4.3.1 Financial consultant recommended the bidder as qualified for the next stage of the bidding process. ETEC agreed with the recommendation of financial consultant.

#### 4.4 M/s Sharma Construction, Proprietorship Firm:

4.4.1 The bidder has not provided the qualification in respect of technical key personnel as per clause 4 of ITB.

4.4.2 The Bidder has submitted an undertaking (in response to the clarification asked) to agree and abide by this bid for a period of 28 days only after the date fixed for receiving the same. However, as per clause 15.1 of ITB, the Bid shall remain valid for a period of 120 days after the deadline date for Bid Submission. Therefore, as per clause 15.1 of ITB, the Bid shall be rejected and considered as Non - Responsive.

4.4.3 The information for existing commitments and ongoing works details are not provided by the bidder which is required as per clause 4.6 of ITB and clause 1.4 (b) (i) of Section III. Therefore, the calculation of Available bid Capacity could not be done and the bidder will not be qualified as per clause 4.6 of ITB.

4.4.4 The bidder was asked to clarify which project qualifies the minimum criteria required as per point 4.4 (b) of ITB. However, the bidder has not replied to the same therefore the evaluation has been done from the available documents and bid is evaluated accordingly. Further, the bidder has enclosed a new project completion certificate which was not claimed at the time of bid submitted by the bidder, therefore such new project has not been considered for evaluation purpose.

5. The following was observed by the ETEC regarding the qualification of each bidder,

Description	Abdul Rashid Butt	M/s Jai Buildcon Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Khanday Infrastructure (P) Ltd	M/s Sharma Construction
Average Annual Financial Turnover of Rs 279.65 Lakhs	713.50	2024.15	1464.05	822.95
One similar work completed of amount equal to Rs 279.65 Lakhs	—	Yes	Yes	-
Assessed Bid Capacity Required : >Rs 699.14 Lakhs	2436.1772 Lakhs	6318.048 Lakhs	6793.6488 Lakhs	-
Evidence of availability of key equipments	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Power of Attorney	Submitted	Submitted	Letter of Authority is given	-
ITR balance sheet	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	-
Availability of Bank credit	Submitted	Submitted	Submitted	-

Bid Security	DD submitted	DD submitted	BG Confirmed	DD Submitted
--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

6.1 The committee observed that the following two bidders meet all eligibility requirements in terms of Average Annual Financial Turnover, One completed work of value Rs 279.65 Lakhs and have required Bid Capacity. The detail of the completed works for the two bidders is as under:

Name of Bidder	Project Name	Tender Amount (Rs.) Lakhs
M/s Abdul Rashid Butt, Proprietorship Firm	Providing laying and Consolidation of Readymade Mix WMM 100 mm, 75 mm and dense Bituminous Macadam (DBM) 50mm thick and Bituminous Macadam Concrete (BC) 25mm Thick Between Km 0.00 to Km 6.50 on road Dulgalhar in 118 RCC Sector Under 35 BRTF Project Beacon in J&K State.	0.000
M/s Jai Buildcon Pvt. Ltd., Company	Periodic renewal work in length of 16 Km Sarangpur Dewas section from Km 483.000 to Km 566.450 of NH-3 in the state of Madhya Pradesh.	906.46
M/s Khanday Infrastructure	Upgradation of Larkipora Zaldoora Lisser Road (Km 1 <sup>st</sup> to Km 11th) District Anantnag. Under the PWD (R&B) Dept. Of Kashmir the specification of the carriage way of 5.5 mtr intermediate lane the contract include earth work, cutting and filling, protection work, cross drainage, GSB, WBM under (Gr-I, II & III), wet mix, bitumen macadam, SDBC and development of Berm (the average width of the shoulder is 2 mtr.)	828.177
M/s Sharma Construction, Proprietorship Firm	The bid is considered as Non responsive in terms of RFP clause 15.1 of ITB. And Not qualified in terms of clause 4.6 of ITB and clause 4.4 .A b) of ITB.	00.000

7. ETEC agreed with the recommendations of the Financial Consultant in respect of the clarifications received as per **Annexure-I**, fulfilment/non-fulfilment of the minimum eligibility criteria by the each bidder as per para 5 above. The committee after due deliberations recommended the financial opening of the following firms after obtaining approval from the Competent Authority:

- (i) M/s Jai Buildcon Pvt. Ltd
- (ii) M/s Khanday Infrastructure (P) Ltd

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.



Pradeep Sharma, GM (T)  
( Member Secretary )



Col. Rajeev Shod, GM (Tech.)  
(Member)



Uttam Chatterjee, DGM(Fin.)  
(Member)



Sanjeev Malik, ED-III  
(Convenor)

